

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,422	. 02/22/2002	David M. Prestipino	47168-00216	1031
30223 7590 08/19/2005			EXAMINER	
JENKENS &	GILCHRIST, P.C.		LEWIS, RALPH A	
225 WEST WA	SHINGTON			
SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606		3732	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v i	
$\overline{}$	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

T	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/081,422	PRESTIPINO, DAVID M.	
Γ	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732	

E	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ralph A. Lewis	3732					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE R	HE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
ti p a	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) 🛭	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) [								
•	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		- TINOT KELLET WAS I	ILLD WITTING				
nave be under 3 set forth may rec	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
fi a	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
	<u>DMENTS</u>							
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
	a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
	<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>							
(	d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
,	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ootoa olamio.					
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Carlo Clada a carada	t P tt				
r	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).		•	_				
r	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. 🔲 T	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, busecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
e	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to obtain a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. 🔲	D. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
	REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>it is not found persuasive.</u> See attachment.							
	2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. 📋	13.  Other:							
			The	$\geq$				
	Raiph A. Lewis							
	Primary Examiner ねょってつ							
			<b>Langer</b> ( ) < -/					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 3732

## **Advisory Comments**

Applicant request for reconsideration has been carefully considered, but has not been found persuasive.

Applicant argues that there is no reasonable suggestion or motivation in the entire teachings of the applied references to develop an implant analog that mimics the implant's configuration below the implant's upper surface. The examiner strongly disagrees, Lazarra et al teach an implant that includes an upper groove 14 that is intended to be positioned above the surface of the bone when it has reached a "steady state" after about eighteen months (column 3, line 11). The steady state bone surface level will generally occur between lines 30 and 32 (column 3, lines 15 and 16). Which is below the upper groove 14. Accordingly, when the ordinarily skilled artisan went to make a model of the Lazzara et al jaw with implant according to the prior art teachings of Beaty et al, said ordinarily skilled artisan would have been motivated to place an upper groove in a Beaty et al type analog so as to imitate the above bone surface groove 14 of Lazzara et al so that an accurate and cosmetically pleasing prosthesis may be formed.

Applicant argues that one wouldn't know where the steady state condition will occur. While applicant may be technically correct, Lazzara does teach that it will generally occur between lines 30 and 32 which is below the upper groove 14.

Applicant further argues that the designed prosthesis will never extend below the upper surface of the implant so there is no need to mimic the prior art implant structure of Lazzara et al (i.e. the upper groove 14) that is below the upper surface of the implant.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,422

Art Unit: 3732

The examiner disagrees, one would be motivated to make the model of the jaw/implant

as accurate as possible so that when the prosthesis is designed by the technician it is

done so on a model that looks as close as possible to the patient's mouth. The more

accurate the model, then the more accurately and cosmetically pleasing will be the

designed prosthesis.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(571) 272-4712**. Fax (571) 273-8300. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (571) 272-4720.

R.Lewis August 10, 2005

Ralph A. Lewis Primary Examiner Page 3

AU3732